

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Ì	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,146		10/22/2004	Hideki Haramoto	121571	2304
25944	7590	03/08/2006		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & E		GE, PLC	LESLIE, MICHAEL S		
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXAND		A 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· · · · ·	<b>,</b>		3745	
				DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>Q</i> ,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/512,146	HARAMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Leslie	3745
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sI Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- t. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Poply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	•
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.	
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allo	<u>.</u>	•
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-30</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-30</u> are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. Lents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I: A travel motor control apparatus having an over rotation prevention device

that controls the displacement of the travel motion motor.

Species II: A travel motor control apparatus having an over rotation prevention device

that controls the amount of fluid bypassing the travel motion motor

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Application/Control Number: 10/512,146

Art Unit: 3745

Species I: Claims 12,13,15-18 and 21-30

Species II: Claims 19 and 20

The following claim(s) are generic: 14.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species are unrelated and require a separate search.

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/512,146

Art Unit: 3745

. . .

CONCLUSION

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Leslie whose telephone number is (571) 272-4819. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ML

March 3, 2006

Michael Leslie

**Patent Examiner** 

Michael Centie

**AU 3745**